

Zeta Functions and Normalized Multiple Sine Functions

Shin-ya Koyama and Nobushige Kurokawa

Abstract. By using normalized multiple sine functions we show expressions for special values of zeta functions and L -functions containing $\zeta(3)$, $\zeta(5)$, etc. Our result reveals the importance of division values of normalized multiple sine functions. Properties of multiple Hurwitz zeta functions are crucial for the proof.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: 11M06

1 Introduction

The normalized sine function

$$S_1(x) = 2 \sin(\pi x)$$

has the basic importance in number theory. This is expressed as

$$S_1(x) = \Gamma_1(x)^{-1} \Gamma_1(1-x)^{-1}$$

with the normalized gamma function

$$\Gamma_1(x) = \exp \left(\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \zeta(s, x) \right|_{s=0} \right),$$

where

$$\zeta(s, x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+x)^{-s}$$

is the Hurwitz zeta function. In fact, Lerch's formula says that

$$\Gamma_1(x) = \frac{\Gamma(x)}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$$

for the usual gamma function $\Gamma(x)$.

We know that the special value of $S_1(x)$ at a rational number $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ with $0 < x < 1$ is an algebraic integer

$$\begin{aligned} S_1(x) &= (e^{-\pi ix} - e^{\pi ix}) i \\ &= |1 - e^{2\pi ix}|. \end{aligned}$$

(Since $S_1(1/3) = \sqrt{3}$, the factor “2” is needed in $S_1(x)$ to assure the integrality.) This algebraic integer is intimately related to the cyclotomic unit, and at the same time it appears in the so-called the class number formula of Dirichlet

$$L(1, \chi) = -\frac{\tau(\chi)}{N} \log \left(\prod_{k=1}^{N-1} S_1 \left(\frac{k}{N} \right)^{\bar{\chi}(k)} \right),$$

where

$$L(s, \chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi(n) n^{-s}$$

is the Dirichlet L -function for a non-trivial primitive even Dirichlet character χ modulo N and

$$\tau(\chi) = \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \chi(k) e^{2\pi i k/N}$$

is the Gauss sum. We notice that the Dirichlet’s formula is written also as

$$L'(0, \chi) = -\frac{1}{2} \log \left(\prod_{k=1}^{N-1} S_1 \left(\frac{k}{N} \right)^{\chi(k)} \right)$$

via the functional equation.

The purpose of this paper is to generalize such a formula to the case of $L(r, \chi)$ for $r \geq 2$ containing the Riemann zeta case $\chi = \mathbf{1}$ by using the normalized multiple sine function $S_r(x)$, which was constructed and studied in the previous paper [KK] (see §2 for a survey). We recall the construction. For $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r > 0$ and $x > 0$, the multiple Hurwitz zeta function is defined by Barnes [B] as

$$\zeta_r(s, x; (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r)) = \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_r=0}^{\infty} (n_1\omega_1 + \dots + n_r\omega_r + x)^{-s}$$

in $\text{Re}(s) > r$. This has the analytic continuation to all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ as a meromorphic function, and it is holomorphic at $s = 0$. Then the normalized multiple gamma function is defined as

$$\Gamma_r(x, (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r)) = \exp \left(\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \zeta_r(s, x; (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r)) \right|_{s=0} \right).$$

This is a constant multiple of multiple gamma function $\Gamma_r^B(x; (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r))$ of Barnes [B]:

$$\Gamma_r(x; (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r)) = \Gamma_r^B(x; (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r)) / \rho_r(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r).$$

Now, the normalized multiple sine function is

$$S_r(x; (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r)) = \Gamma_r(x; (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r))^{-1} \Gamma_r(\omega_1 + \dots + \omega_r - x; (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r))^{(-1)^r}.$$

Hence, by the zeta regularized product (see Manin [M]), we can write

$$S_r(x; (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r)) = \prod_{n_1, \dots, n_r=0}^{\infty} (n_1\omega_1 + \dots + n_r\omega_r + x) \left(\prod_{n_1, \dots, n_r=1}^{\infty} (n_1\omega_1 + \dots + n_r\omega_r - x) \right)^{(-1)^{r-1}}.$$

For example

$$\begin{aligned} S_1(x, \omega) &= \Gamma_1(x, \omega)^{-1} \Gamma_1(\omega - x, \omega)^{-1} \\ &= \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (n\omega + x) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (n\omega - x) \\ &= 2 \sin(\pi x / \omega) \end{aligned}$$

since we have

$$\Gamma_1(x, \omega) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \Gamma(x/\omega) \omega^{\frac{x}{\omega} - \frac{1}{2}}$$

from

$$\zeta_1(s, x, \omega) = \omega^{-s} \zeta(s, x/\omega).$$

To simplify the notation we put $S_r(x) = S_r(x; (1, \dots, 1))$, $\Gamma_r(x) = \Gamma_r(x; (1, \dots, 1))$ and $\zeta_r(s, x) = \zeta_r(s, x; (1, \dots, 1))$. Hence

$$S_r(x) = \Gamma_r(x)^{-1} \Gamma_r(r - x)^{(-1)^r}$$

and

$$\Gamma_r(x) = \exp \left(\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \zeta_r(s, x) \right|_{s=0} \right).$$

This normalized multiple sine function $S_r(x)$ has good properties similar to the usual sine function $S_1(x) = 2 \sin(\pi x)$. We refer to §2 for details. For example, it has the periodicity and the duplication formula:

$$S_r(x + 1) = S_r(x) S_{r-1}(x)^{-1}$$

and

$$S_r(2x) = \prod_{k=0}^r S_r \left(x + \frac{k}{2} \right)^{\binom{r}{k}}.$$

Moreover $S_r(x)$ satisfies the following differential equation:

$$\frac{S_r'(x)}{S_r(x)} = Q_r(x) \cot \pi x$$

with $Q_r(x) = (-1)^{r-1} \pi \binom{x-1}{r-1}$. So, $S_r(x)$ is a solution of the algebraic differential equation

$$S_r''(x) + (\pi Q_r(x)^{-1} - 1) S_r'(x)^2 S_r(x)^{-1} - Q_r'(x) Q_r(x)^{-1} S_r'(x) + \pi Q_r(x) S_r(x) = 0.$$

We also note that $S_r(x)$ has the Weierstrass product expression similar to

$$\begin{aligned} S_1(x) &= 2\pi x \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{n^2}\right) \\ &= 2\pi x \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right)^{1H_n} \left(1 - \frac{x}{n}\right)^{1H_{-n}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

For example

$$\begin{aligned} S_2(x) &= 2\pi x e^{-x} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right)^{n+1} \left(1 - \frac{x}{n}\right)^{-n+1} e^{-2x} \right) \\ &= 2\pi x e^{-x} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right)^{2H_n} \left(1 - \frac{x}{n}\right)^{2H_{-n}} e^{-2x} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} S_3(x) &= 2\pi e^{-\zeta'(-2)} x e^{\frac{x^2}{4} - \frac{3}{2}x} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right)^{\frac{n^2}{2} + \frac{3n}{2} + 1} \left(1 - \frac{x}{n}\right)^{\frac{n^2}{2} - \frac{3n}{2} + 1} e^{\frac{x^2}{2} - 3x} \right) \\ &= 2\pi e^{-\zeta'(-2)} x e^{\frac{x^2}{4} - \frac{3}{2}x} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right)^{3H_n} \left(1 - \frac{x}{n}\right)^{3H_{-n}} e^{\frac{x^2}{2} - 3x} \right) \end{aligned}$$

(see §2).

Our main results are as follows. The first result expresses the values of the Riemann zeta function at positive odd integers.

Theorem 1.1 *Let $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, and for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ put*

$$a(2n+1, k) = \sum_{l=1}^k (-1)^{k-l} l^{2n} \binom{2n+1}{k-l},$$

which is a positive integer. Then we have:

(1)

$$\zeta'(-2n) = -\log \left(\prod_{k=1}^n S_{2n+1}(k)^{a(2n+1,k)} \right).$$

(2)

$$\zeta(2n+1) = \frac{(-1)^{n-1} 2^{2n+1} \pi^{2n}}{(2n)!} \log \left(\prod_{k=1}^n S_{2n+1}(k)^{a(2n+1,k)} \right).$$

Examples 1.2 We have

$$\zeta(3) = 4\pi^2 \log S_3(1), \quad (1.1)$$

$$\zeta(5) = -\frac{4\pi^4}{3} \log(S_5(1)S_5(2)^{11}), \quad (1.2)$$

$$\zeta(7) = \frac{8\pi^6}{45} \log(S_7(1)S_7(2)^{57}S_7(3)^{302}). \quad (1.3)$$

The above formula (1.1) was proved in [KK] previously.

Remark 1.3 By the formula

$$S_r(k) = \prod_{l=0}^{k-1} S_{r-l}(1)^{\binom{k-1}{l}(-1)^l} \quad (1.4)$$

for $1 \leq k < r$ (cf. §2), we can also express $\zeta(2n+1)$ in terms of $S_l(1)$ ($2 \leq l \leq 2n+1$):

$$\zeta(2n+1) = \frac{(-1)^{n-1} 2^{2n+1} \pi^{2n}}{(2n)!} \log \left(\prod_{l=2}^{2n+1} S_l(1)^{b(2n+1,l)} \right)$$

with $b(2n+1, l) \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Example 1.4 Since $S_5(2) = S_5(1)S_4(1)^{-1}$ (see §2),

$$\zeta(5) = -\frac{4\pi^4}{3} \log(S_5(1)^{12}S_4(1)^{-11}).$$

Next, let χ be a non-trivial primitive Dirichlet character modulo N , and

$$L(s, \chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi(n)n^{-s} \quad (1.5)$$

the Dirichlet L -function. Then the values $L(r, \chi)$ for $r = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ are classified as

$$L(r, \chi) = \begin{cases} \pi^r \cdot (\chi\text{-Bernoulli number}) & \cdots & \chi(-1) = (-1)^r \\ \text{“difficult”} & \cdots & \chi(-1) = (-1)^{r+1}. \end{cases}$$

Here “difficult” means that these values have not been calculated explicitly yet except for the $r = 1$ case appearing in the Dirichlet’s class number formula.

We generalize Dirichlet’s result to some difficult case.

Theorem 1.5 *Let χ be a primitive odd character modulo N . Then:*

(1)

$$L'(-1, \chi) = -\frac{1}{2} \log \prod_{k=1}^{N-1} \left(S_2 \left(\frac{k}{N} \right)^N S_1 \left(\frac{k}{N} \right)^k \right)^{\chi(k)}.$$

(2)

$$L(2, \chi) = \frac{2\pi i \tau(\chi)}{N^2} \log \prod_{k=1}^{N-1} \left(S_2 \left(\frac{k}{N} \right)^N S_1 \left(\frac{k}{N} \right)^k \right)^{\bar{\chi}(k)}.$$

Examples 1.6 We have

$$\begin{aligned} L(2, \left(\frac{-4}{*} \right)) &= -\frac{\pi}{4} \log \left(S_2 \left(\frac{1}{4} \right)^4 S_1 \left(\frac{1}{4} \right) S_2 \left(\frac{3}{4} \right)^{-4} S_1 \left(\frac{3}{4} \right)^{-3} \right) \\ &= \frac{\pi}{4} \log \left(2^3 S_2 \left(\frac{1}{4} \right)^{-8} \right), \\ L(2, \left(\frac{-3}{*} \right)) &= -\frac{2\sqrt{3}\pi}{9} \log \left(S_2 \left(\frac{1}{3} \right)^3 S_1 \left(\frac{1}{3} \right) S_2 \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^{-3} S_1 \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^{-2} \right) \\ &= \frac{4\sqrt{3}\pi}{9} \log \left(3 S_2 \left(\frac{1}{3} \right)^{-3} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we used the following relations (see §2):

$$\begin{aligned} S_2(1-x) &= S_2(1+x)^{-1} \\ &= (S_2(x)S_1(x)^{-1})^{-1} \\ &= S_2(x)^{-1}S_1(x). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.7 *Let χ be a non-trivial primitive even character modulo N . Then:*

(1)

$$L'(-2, \chi) = -\frac{1}{2} \log \prod_{k=1}^{N-1} \left(S_3 \left(\frac{k}{N} \right)^{2N^2} S_2 \left(\frac{k}{N} \right)^{2Nk-3N^2} S_1 \left(\frac{k}{N} \right)^{k^2} \right)^{\chi(k)}.$$

(2)

$$L(3, \chi) = \frac{2\pi^2 \tau(\chi)}{N^3} \log \prod_{k=1}^{N-1} \left(S_3 \left(\frac{k}{N} \right)^{2N^2} S_2 \left(\frac{k}{N} \right)^{2Nk-3N^2} S_1 \left(\frac{k}{N} \right)^{k^2} \right)^{\bar{\chi}(k)}.$$

Example 1.8

$$L(3, \left(\frac{12}{*} \right)) = \frac{\sqrt{3}\pi^2}{432} \log \left(S_3 \left(\frac{1}{12} \right)^{288} S_2 \left(\frac{1}{12} \right)^{-408} S_1 \left(\frac{1}{12} \right) S_3 \left(\frac{5}{12} \right)^{-288} S_2 \left(\frac{5}{12} \right)^{312} S_1 \left(\frac{5}{12} \right)^{-25} S_3 \left(\frac{7}{12} \right)^{-288} S_2 \left(\frac{7}{12} \right)^{264} S_1 \left(\frac{7}{12} \right)^{-49} S_3 \left(\frac{11}{12} \right)^{288} S_2 \left(\frac{11}{12} \right)^{-164} S_1 \left(\frac{11}{12} \right)^{121} \right).$$

Thus the values $S_r(a)$ for $a \in \mathbb{Q}$ satisfying $0 < a < r$ are quite interesting in relation to zeta values. We formulate our expectation as

Expectation 1.9 $S_r(a) \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ for $a \in \mathbb{Q}$ satisfying $0 < a < r$.

The situation would become transparent when we generalize it as below:

Expectation 1.10 $S_r\left(\frac{k_1\omega_1+\dots+k_r\omega_r}{N}; \underline{\omega}\right) \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ for $N = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and $k_i = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$.

It is easy to see that Expectation 1.9 is contained in Expectation 1.10 for $\underline{\omega} = (1, \dots, 1)$, and Expectation 1.10 clearly indicates that we are studying division values of multiple sine functions.

We note that Shintani [Sh] deeply studied $S_2(x, (1, \varepsilon))$ for a fundamental unit ε of a real quadratic field. In particular, he showed its appearance in the expression for a special value of a suitable L -function, and he obtained certain algebraicity such as

$$S_2\left(\frac{1}{3}, (1, \varepsilon)\right) S_2\left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, (1, \varepsilon)\right) S_2\left(\frac{2+2\varepsilon}{3}, (1, \varepsilon)\right) = \sqrt{\frac{\frac{1+\sqrt{21}}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{3+\sqrt{21}}{2}}}{2}}$$

for $\varepsilon = \frac{5+\sqrt{21}}{2}$, which is the fundamental unit of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{21})$. Moreover, Shintani studied Kronecker's Jugendtraum for a real quadratic field by using $S_2(x, (1, \varepsilon))$ (he denoted it by $F(x; (1, \varepsilon))^{-1}$). It might be valuable to report the following general product formula

$$\prod_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_r=0 \\ (k_1, \dots, k_r) \neq (0, \dots, 0)}}^{N-1} S_r\left(\frac{k_1\omega_1 + \dots + k_r\omega_r}{N}; (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r)\right) = N$$

for an integer $N \geq 2$. (See §2.)

Theorem 1.11 (1) *Expectations 1.9 and 1.10 are valid for $r = 1$.*

(2) Expectations 1.9 and 1.10 are valid for $r = 2$ with $N = 2$. Actually

$$S_2\left(\frac{\omega_1}{2}; \underline{\omega}\right) = S_2\left(\frac{\omega_2}{2}; \underline{\omega}\right) = \sqrt{2}$$

and

$$S_2\left(\frac{\omega_1 + \omega_2}{2}; \underline{\omega}\right) = 1.$$

Remark 1.12 This paper was referred to in [KK] as a preprint in 2001.

2 Multiple Sine functions

The basic properties of multiple sine functions were proved in [K] and [KK]. Here we recall some of them.

Theorem 2.1 [KK, Theorem 2.1] *The multiple sine function $S_r(x, \underline{\omega})$ satisfies the following identities:*

(a) For $\underline{\omega} = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r) \in \mathbb{R}_+^r$ put $\underline{\omega}(i) = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{i-1}, \omega_{i+1}, \dots, \omega_r) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{r-1}$, then we have

$$S_r(x + \omega_i, \underline{\omega}) = S_r(x, \underline{\omega}) S_{r-1}(x, \underline{\omega}(i))^{-1}, \quad (2.1)$$

where we put $S_0(x, \cdot) \equiv -1$.

(b) For a positive integer N , we have

$$S_r(Nx, \underline{\omega}) = \prod_{0 \leq k_i \leq N-1} S_r\left(x + \frac{\mathbf{k} \cdot \underline{\omega}}{N}, \underline{\omega}\right), \quad (2.2)$$

where the product is taken over the vectors $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_r)$.

(c)

$$\prod_{\substack{0 \leq k_i \leq N-1 \\ \mathbf{k} \neq \mathbf{0}}} S_r\left(\frac{\mathbf{k} \cdot \underline{\omega}}{N}, \underline{\omega}\right) = N.$$

(d)

$$S_r(0, \underline{\omega}) = 0.$$

(e) We have for any $c > 0$ the homogeneity

$$S_r(cx, c\underline{\omega}) = S_r(x, \underline{\omega}).$$

Theorem 2.2 (a) For $r \geq 2$ we have

$$S_r(x+1) = S_r(x)S_{r-1}(x)^{-1}.$$

(b)

$$S_r(2x) = \prod_{k=0}^r S_r\left(x + \frac{k}{2}\right)^{\binom{r}{k}}.$$

(c) Put $Q_r(x) = (-1)^{r-1}\pi \binom{x-1}{r-1}$, then

$$\frac{S'_r(x)}{S_r(x)} = Q_r(x) \cot(\pi x).$$

(d)

$$S''_r(x) + (\pi Q_r(x)^{-1} - 1) S'_r(x)^2 S_r(x)^{-1} - Q'_r(x) Q_r(x)^{-1} S'_r(x) + \pi Q_r(x) S_r(x) = 0.$$

(e)

$$\begin{aligned} S_2(x) &= 2\pi x e^{-x} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right)^{n+1} \left(1 - \frac{x}{n}\right)^{-n+1} e^{-2x} \right) \\ &= 2\pi x e^{-x} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right)^{2H_n} \left(1 - \frac{x}{n}\right)^{2H_n} e^{-2x} \right). \end{aligned}$$

(f)

$$\begin{aligned} S_3(x) &= 2\pi e^{-\zeta'(-2)} x e^{\frac{x^2}{4} - \frac{3}{2}x} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right)^{\frac{n^2}{2} + \frac{3n}{2} + 1} \left(1 - \frac{x}{n}\right)^{\frac{n^2}{2} - \frac{3n}{2} + 1} e^{\frac{x^2}{2} - 3x} \right) \\ &= 2\pi e^{-\zeta'(-2)} x e^{\frac{x^2}{4} - \frac{3}{2}x} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right)^{3H_n} \left(1 - \frac{x}{n}\right)^{3H_n} e^{\frac{x^2}{2} - 3x} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The assertions (a) and (b) are immediate consequences from [KK, Theorem 2.1]. The differential equation (c) is proved in [KK, Theorem 2.15]. We compute from (c) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(Q_r(x)^{-1} \frac{S'_r(x)}{S_r(x)} \right)' &= (\cot \pi x)' \\ &= -\frac{\pi}{\sin^2 \pi x} \\ &= -\pi (\cot^2(\pi x) + 1) \\ &= -\pi \left(\left(Q_r(x)^{-1} \frac{S'_r(x)}{S_r(x)} \right)^2 + 1 \right), \end{aligned}$$

which gives the proof of (d). Finally (e) and (f) are deduced from [KK, Examples 3.6], where we express the normalized multiple sine functions $S_r(x)$ in terms of primitive multiple sine functions which are defined by the Hadamard product. ■

3 The Riemann zeta function

Lemma 3.1 *There exist uniquely determined integers $a(r, k)$ such that*

$$x^{r-1} = \sum_{k=1}^{r-1} a(r, k) {}_rH_{x-k} \quad (3.1)$$

with ${}_rH_{x-k} = \frac{(x-k+r-1)\cdots(x-k+1)}{(r-1)!}$ for an indeterminate x . Indeed $a(r, k)$ are given as follows:

$$a(r, k) = \sum_{l=1}^k (-1)^{k-l} l^{r-1} \binom{r}{k-l}. \quad (3.2)$$

Moreover,

$$a(r, r-k) = a(r, k). \quad (3.3)$$

Proof. The existence of $a(r, k)$ follows from the fact that the $(r-1)$ polynomials ${}_rH_{x-k}$ ($k = 1, \dots, r-1$) are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} . By putting $x = k$ in (3.1), we have

$$k^{r-1} = a(r, 1) \binom{k+r-2}{r-1} + a(r, 2) \binom{k+r-3}{r-1} + \cdots + a(r, k) \cdot 1.$$

This leads to

$$a(r, k) = k^{r-1} - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} a(r, j) \binom{k+r-1-j}{r-1}.$$

Thus (3.2) is proved by induction on k . Next, from (3.1)

$$(-x)^{r-1} = \sum_{k=1}^{r-1} a(r, k) {}_rH_{-x-k}$$

and

$${}_rH_{-x-k} = \frac{(-x-k+r-1)\cdots(-x-k+1)}{(r-1)!} = (-1)^{r-1} {}_rH_{x-(r-k)},$$

so

$$x^{r-1} = \sum_{k=1}^{r-1} a(r, k) {}_rH_{x-(r-k)} = \sum_{k=1}^{r-1} a(r, r-k) {}_rH_{x-k}.$$

Hence, by the uniqueness of $a(r, k)$ we have $a(r, r-k) = a(r, k)$. ■

Examples 3.2 For $x = n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $r = 2, 3, 4, 5$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} n &= {}_2H_{n-1}, \\ n^2 &= {}_3H_{n-1} + {}_3H_{n-2}, \\ n^3 &= {}_4H_{n-1} + 4 {}_4H_{n-2} + {}_4H_{n-3}, \\ n^4 &= {}_5H_{n-1} + 11 {}_5H_{n-2} + 11 {}_5H_{n-3} + {}_5H_{n-4}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1:

For $r \geq 2$ we have by Lemma 3.1

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(s+1-r) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^{r-1}}{n^s} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{r-1} a(r, k) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{{}_rH_{n-k}}{n^s} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{r-1} a(r, k) \zeta_r(s, k), \end{aligned}$$

where $\zeta_r(s, k)$ is the multiple Hurwitz zeta function

$$\zeta_r(s, k) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{{}_rH_n}{(n+k)^s}.$$

Thus we have

$$\zeta'(1-r) = \sum_{k=1}^{r-1} a(r, k) \log \Gamma_r(k).$$

In case $r = 2n + 1$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta'(-2n) &= \sum_{k=1}^{2n} a(2n+1, k) \log \Gamma_{2n+1}(k) \\ &= - \sum_{k=1}^n a(2n+1, k) \log S_{2n+1}(k) \\ &= - \log \left(\prod_{k=1}^n S_{2n+1}(k)^{a(2n+1, k)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we used $S_{2n+1}(k) = \Gamma_{2n+1}(k)^{-1} \Gamma_{2n+1}(2n+1-k)^{-1}$ and $a(2n+1, 2n+1-k) = a(2n+1, k)$. ■

Examples 3.3 We saw in [KK, Theorem 3.8(c)] that

$$\zeta(3) = 4\pi^2 \log S_3(1).$$

Combining this with the fact that

$$S_3(1) = \sqrt{2} S_3\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{-4/3},$$

which can be obtained by the facts

$$\begin{aligned} S_3(1) &= S_3\left(2 \cdot \frac{1}{2}\right) \\ &= S_3\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) S_3(1)^3 S_3\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^3 S_3(2) \\ &= S_3(1)^4 S_3\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^4 S_2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{-3} \end{aligned}$$

and that $S_2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \sqrt{2}$, we have

$$\zeta(3) = \frac{16\pi^2}{3} \log \left(S_3\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{-1} 2^{\frac{3}{8}} \right)$$

which was proved in [KK, Theorem 3.8(b)] by another method (using a “primitive multiple sine function”).

4 Dirichlet L -functions for odd characters

We prove the formula for $L(2, \chi)$ for odd characters. Since our method follows a proof for Dirichlet’s result on $L(1, \chi)$ for even characters, we first recall it. We show the formula for $L'(0, \chi)$. Then the result on $L(1, \chi)$ follows via the functional equation.

Let χ be a non-trivial primitive Dirichlet character modulo N . We have

$$\begin{aligned} L(s, \chi) &= \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \chi(k) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(mN + k)^s} \\ &= N^{-s} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \chi(k) \zeta\left(s, \frac{k}{N}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\zeta(s, x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m+x)^s}$$

is the Hurwitz zeta function. Hence

$$L(0, \chi) = \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \chi(k) \zeta\left(0, \frac{k}{N}\right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} L'(0, \chi) &= \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \chi(k) \zeta'\left(0, \frac{k}{N}\right) - (\log N) \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \chi(k) \zeta\left(0, \frac{k}{N}\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \chi(k) \zeta'\left(0, \frac{k}{N}\right) - (\log N) L(0, \chi). \end{aligned}$$

When χ is even, it holds that $L(0, \chi) = 0$ (this is the reason of “difficult”), so we have

$$\begin{aligned} L'(0, \chi) &= \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \chi(k) \zeta'\left(0, \frac{k}{N}\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \chi(k) \log \Gamma_1\left(\frac{k}{N}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \chi(k) \left(\log \Gamma_1\left(\frac{k}{N}\right) + \log \Gamma_1\left(\frac{N-k}{N}\right) \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \chi(k) \log S_1\left(\frac{k}{N}\right). \end{aligned}$$

This gives the Dirichlet’s result.

Proof of Theorem 1.5

We prove (1), then (2) is obtained via the functional equation. Since

$$\zeta(s-1, x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n+x}{(n+x)^s} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n+1}{(n+x)^s} + (x-1) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+x)^s} = \zeta_2(s, x) + (x-1)\zeta_1(s, x),$$

we have

$$\zeta'(-1, x) = \zeta_2'(0, x) + (x-1)\zeta_1'(0, x),$$

as $\zeta_1(s, x) = \zeta(s, x)$. Now that χ is odd and that $L(-1, \chi) = 0$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
L'(-1, \chi) &= N \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \chi(k) \zeta'(-1, \frac{k}{N}) \\
&= N \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \chi(k) \zeta_2'(0, \frac{k}{N}) + N \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \chi(k) (\frac{k}{N} - 1) \zeta_1'(0, \frac{k}{N}) \\
&= N \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \chi(k) \log \Gamma_2(\frac{k}{N}) + N \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \chi(k) (\frac{k}{N} - 1) \log \Gamma_1'(\frac{k}{N}) \\
&= N \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \chi(k) \log \left(\Gamma_2(\frac{k}{N}) \Gamma_1(\frac{k}{N})^{\frac{k}{N}-1} \right) \\
&= \frac{N}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \chi(k) \log \left(\frac{\Gamma_2(\frac{k}{N})}{\Gamma_2(1 - \frac{k}{N})} \frac{\Gamma_1(\frac{k}{N})^{\frac{k}{N}-1}}{\Gamma_1(1 - \frac{k}{N})^{-\frac{k}{N}}} \right) \\
&= \frac{N}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \chi(k) \log \left(\frac{\Gamma_2(\frac{k}{N})}{\Gamma_2(2 - \frac{k}{N})} (\Gamma_1(\frac{k}{N}) \Gamma_1(1 - \frac{k}{N}))^{\frac{k}{N}-1} \right) \\
&= -\frac{N}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \chi(k) \log \left(S_2(\frac{k}{N}) S_1(\frac{k}{N})^{\frac{k}{N}-1} \right) \\
&= -\frac{N}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \chi(k) \log \left(S_2(\frac{k}{N}) S_1(\frac{k}{N})^{\frac{k}{N}} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact $S_1(\frac{k}{N}) = S_1(\frac{N-k}{N})$ with $\chi(N-k) = -\chi(k)$. ■

5 Dirichlet L -functions for even characters

Proof of Theorem 1.7

We again show (1), then (2) is obtained via the functional equation. Since

$$(n+x)^2 = 2 {}_3H_n + (2x-3) {}_2H_n + (x-1)^2 {}_1H_n,$$

we have

$$\zeta(s-2, x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n+x)^2}{(n+x)^s} = 2\zeta_3(s, x) + (2x-3)\zeta_2(s, x) + (x-1)^2\zeta_1(s, x).$$

Therefore we have

$$\zeta'(-2, x) = 2\zeta_3'(0, x) + (2x-3)\zeta_2'(0, x) + (x-1)^2\zeta_1'(0, x).$$

Now that χ is even and that $L(-2, \chi) = 0$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
L'(-2, \chi) &= N^2 \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \chi(k) \zeta'(-2, \frac{k}{N}) \\
&= N^2 \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \chi(k) \left(2\zeta'_3(0, \frac{k}{N}) + (2\frac{k}{N} - 3)\zeta'_2(0, \frac{k}{N}) + (\frac{k}{N} - 1)^2 \zeta'_1(0, \frac{k}{N}) \right) \\
&= N^2 \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \chi(k) \log \left(\Gamma_3(\frac{k}{N})^2 \Gamma_2(\frac{k}{N})^{2\frac{k}{N}-3} \Gamma_1(\frac{k}{N})^{(\frac{k}{N}-1)^2} \right) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \log \prod_{k=1}^{N-1} \left(S_3(\frac{k}{N})^{2N^2} S_2(\frac{k}{N})^{2Nk-3N^2} S_1(\frac{k}{N})^{k^2} \right)^{\chi(k)}. \blacksquare
\end{aligned}$$

6 Division values of normalized multiple sines

Proof of Theorem 1.11

Since $S_1(x, \omega) = 2 \sin(\frac{\pi x}{\omega})$ by [KK, §2], we have

$$S_1(\frac{k\omega}{N}, \omega) = 2 \sin(\frac{k\pi}{N}) = -i(e^{i\pi k/N} - e^{-i\pi k/N}) \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}},$$

which leads to (1).

Recall that

$$S_2(x, (\omega_1, \omega_2)) = \frac{\Gamma_2(\omega_1 + \omega_2 - x, (\omega_1, \omega_2))}{\Gamma_2(x, (\omega_1, \omega_2))}.$$

First

$$S_2(\frac{\omega_1 + \omega_2}{2}, (\omega_1, \omega_2)) = \frac{\Gamma_2(\frac{\omega_1 + \omega_2}{2}, (\omega_1, \omega_2))}{\Gamma_2(\frac{\omega_1 + \omega_2}{2}, (\omega_1, \omega_2))} = 1.$$

Secondly

$$S_2(\frac{\omega_1}{2}, (\omega_1, \omega_2)) = \frac{\Gamma_2(\frac{\omega_1}{2} + \omega_2, (\omega_1, \omega_2))}{\Gamma_2(\frac{\omega_1}{2}, (\omega_1, \omega_2))}.$$

Here we use ([KK, §2])

$$\Gamma_2(x + \omega_2, (\omega_1, \omega_2)) = \Gamma_2(x, (\omega_1, \omega_2)) \Gamma_1(x, \omega_1)^{-1}.$$

Then

$$\Gamma_2(\frac{\omega_1}{2} + \omega_2, (\omega_1, \omega_2)) = \Gamma_2(\frac{\omega_1}{2}, (\omega_1, \omega_2)) \Gamma_1(\frac{\omega_1}{2}, \omega_1)^{-1}.$$

Hence

$$S_2(\frac{\omega_1}{2}, (\omega_1, \omega_2)) = \Gamma_1(\frac{\omega_1}{2}, \omega_1)^{-1}.$$

Now ([KK, §2])

$$\Gamma_1(x, \omega) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{x}{\omega})}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \omega^{\frac{x}{\omega} - \frac{1}{2}},$$

so

$$\Gamma_1(\frac{\omega_1}{2}, \omega_1) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}{\sqrt{2\pi}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

Thus

$$S_2(\frac{\omega_1}{2}, (\omega_1, \omega_2)) = \sqrt{2}. \blacksquare$$

Remark 6.1 A suitable restriction on the form of division points such as made in Expectation 1.10 will be needed as the following example shows:

$$S_2(2, (1, \sqrt{2})) \notin \overline{\mathbb{Q}}. \quad (6.1)$$

By this example, we must seriously look at $S_r(a_1\omega_1 + \cdots + a_r\omega_r; (\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_r))$ for general $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$. The proof of (6.1) is given by

$$\frac{S_2(2, (1, \sqrt{2}))}{S_2(1, (1, \sqrt{2}))} = \frac{S_2(1+1, (1, \sqrt{2}))}{S_2(1, (1, \sqrt{2}))} = S_1(1, \sqrt{2})^{-1} = \left(2 \sin \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{-1} \notin \overline{\mathbb{Q}},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \sin \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}} &= -i(e^{i\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}}} - e^{-i\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}}}) \\ &= -i((-1)^{1/\sqrt{2}} - ((-1)^{1/\sqrt{2}})^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

and we used the transcendency result of Gelfond-Schneider $(-1)^{1/\sqrt{2}} \notin \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Moreover we appeal to the following facts:

$$\begin{aligned} S_2(\omega_1, (\omega_1, \omega_2)) &= \sqrt{\frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1}}, \\ S_2(\omega_2, (\omega_1, \omega_2)) &= \sqrt{\frac{\omega_1}{\omega_2}}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular

$$S_2(1, (1, \sqrt{2})) = 2^{\frac{1}{4}} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

Thus we obtain (6.1).

References

- [B] E.W. Barnes: On the theory of the multiple gamma function. Trans. Cambridge Philos. Soc., **19** (1904) 374-425.
- [K] N. Kurokawa: Gamma factors and Plancherel measures. Proc. Japan Acad. **68A** (1992) 256-260.
- [KK] N. Kurokawa and S. Koyama: Multiple sine functions. Forum Math. **15** (2003) 839-876.
- [KW] N. Kurokawa and M. Wakayama: On $\zeta(3)$. J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. **16** (2001) 205-214.
- [M] Yu. I. Manin: Lectures on zeta functions and motives (according to Deninger and Kurokawa). Asterisque **228** (1995) 121-163.
- [Sh] T. Shintani: On a Kronecker limit formula for real quadratic fields. J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, **24** (1977) 167-199.

S. Koyama: 2-5-27, Hayabuchi, Tsuzuki-ku, Yokohama, 224-0025, Japan
(e-mail: koyama@tmtv.ne.jp)

N. Kurokawa: Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Institute of Technology,
Oh-okayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo, 152-8551, Japan
(e-mail: kurokawa@math.titech.ac.jp)